

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. Freedom of speech is one thing, but blatant propaganda is another. Your station is evidently Republican, and this serves no purpose to the general public. If this were the case, Fahrenheit 9/11 would be broadcasting AGAINST your program. This is clearly unclear thinking and an unfortunate choice.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Surely, someone can step in and ask them to rethink their choice. Someone at the helm of Sinclair is obviously extremely anti-Kerry, and Pro-Bush. Is that what our society has come to? Just because a large corporation as use of the airwaves does not mean that they can irresponsibly use them for their own purposes such as this.

Put this movie in a movie theater and allow people to make their own decision as to whether they choose to see it or not.

Thank you.